

SECTION 106 SUCCESS STORIES-SUBMIT YOUR NOMINATIONS!

The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) is seeking examples of successful Section 106 cases that illustrate the impact the Section 106 process has had on historic preservation during its history. We are collecting these stories to celebrate 45 years of experience with this unique federal law. A number of cases will be publicized as part of the ACHP's commemoration of the 50th anniversary of the National Historic Preservation Act in 2016. Individual "106 Success Stories" will be published regularly beginning in 2012.

We are reaching out to partners and preservationists across the country to submit nominations. These will be reviewed by a panel, and some of these cases selected will be developed for publication. We encourage those who have first-hand experience with Section 106 to let us know about cases where the process made a difference. We are particularly interested in your perspective on why a particular Section 106 case is important and how it was "successful."

Please include the following information in your nomination and send via email e-mail to <u>106stories@achp.gov</u>. The ACHP will do the follow-up research to develop the stories that are selected, so lengthy information and documentation are not essential in your initial submission.

- 1. Project name:
- 2. Project description (include the lead federal agency):
- 3. Location (city, state):
- 4. Date of case (approximate):
- 5. How case was resolved (Memorandum of Agreement, ACHP comment, etc.):
- 6. Has it received any preservation awards or designations?
- 7. Why do you think the case was successful?

We encourage you to consider the following types of outcomes as potential Section 106 success stories:

- Good outcomes to historic resources, such as harm avoided or minimized or creative mitigation developed, such as community preservation programs; positive legislative or regulatory changes ensued; or preservation champions emerged
- Diverse communities brought together by the process, regardless of outcome
- Properties of importance to diverse constituencies were protected
- Successful public involvement in planning or in mitigation efforts
- Significant public controversy resolved through the Section 106 process
- Outcomes that resulted in economic development, job creation, or community revitalization in either the short- or long-term
- Changes or improvements in laws, regulations, or government policies or processes that benefitted preservation
- Section 106 program alternatives (such as a Programmatic Agreement) that improved the protection of historic properties or saved time or money for project planning

Thank you for sharing your ideas. We look forward learning about what you consider a Section 106 success.

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION